

problem was the confidentiality issue of having access to someone's mental health records. So this says you access the information, a yes or no answer is given from DPI whether or not someone has had a record in terms of mental health. Then the information is sent to the patrol, through the computer on back to the local police, and the answer is given, it is okay or it is not okay to issue a certificate to purchase the handgun. This balances out the decision-making and the protection of privacy, and the police are satisfied they'll get the information they need, and the mental health advocates are satisfied confidentiality will be protected, and overall it will enhance the...what I think we all agreed to in terms of keeping handguns away from individuals who might be dangerous, but I think at the same time we don't want to be overly protective as well. So I think it is a balanced bill, and it does have the support of the police and NRA. I would ask your support for the adoption of the amendment and, Mr. Speaker, I didn't use the eight minutes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Wesely, your light is on next. Senator Wesely waives off. Any further discussion on the Lindsay-Wesely amendment? Seeing none, would...no, he wouldn't. Question is, shall we adopt the Lindsay-Wesely amendment? All in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Lindsay's amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, anything further?

CLERK: Senator Wesely.

SPEAKER WITHEM: His amendment is pulled.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Schmitt would move to amend. (See AM3521 found on page 1171 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Schmitt.

SENATOR SCHMITT: Yes, Mr. Speaker, members. AM3521 addresses Senator Chambers' concern about having the head of the employing law enforcement agency determine the peace officer's status as